

Deriving eq 8.3

The joys of trig

Consider a plane wave arriving from a direction (ϕ, θ) in spherical coordinates. Think of the plane wave as a 2D surface extending infinitely in all directions, it's travelling along it's normal vector, which I'll call \vec{w} .

The time that plane wave would take to pass between two points \vec{x}_i, \vec{x}_j is $\frac{1}{c} \times$ the component of the distance between the two points parallel to the plane wave normal vector \vec{w} .

In other words, it's a dot product.

$$\delta t_{expected}^{ij} = \frac{1}{c} (\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j) \quad (1)$$

What follows is pretty dull and nowhere near as important as getting a good physical intuition for what I described above. But let's expand out the vector into cartesian components:

$$\vec{w} \cdot (\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j) = x_w(x_i - x_j) + y_w(y_i - y_j) + z_w(z_i - z_j) \quad (2)$$

Now we're going to do some coordinate substitutions. Since \vec{w} can come in from any direction (ϕ, θ) let's use spherical coordinates.

$$\begin{aligned} x_w &= \cos \theta \cos \phi \\ y_w &= \cos \theta \sin \phi \\ z_w &= -\sin \theta \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where I've omitted the radial scale factor of 1 since the normal vector \vec{w} has unit length, θ is the elevation angle: at 0 deg all points lie on the x-y plane, the -z axis is lies at +90 deg, and the +z axis lies -90 deg. (ANITA had a funky θ definition... it always used to confuse me.)

ANITA uses cylindrical coordinates to describe its antenna positions since the experiment has approximate cylindrical symmetry, so the \vec{x}_i components can be expressed:

$$\begin{aligned}
x_i &= r_i \cos \phi_i \\
y_i &= r_i \sin \phi_i \\
z_i &= z_i
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Plugging these into equation 2, it gets messy

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{w} \cdot (\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j) &= + \cos \theta \cos \phi (r_i \cos \phi_i - r_j \cos \phi_j) \\
&\quad + \cos \theta \sin \phi (r_i \sin \phi_i - r_j \sin \phi_j) \\
&\quad - \sin \theta (z_i - z_j) \\
&= + r_i \cos \phi_i \cos \theta \cos \phi - r_j \cos \phi_j \cos \theta \cos \phi \\
&\quad + r_i \sin \phi_i \cos \theta \sin \phi - r_j \sin \phi_j \cos \theta \sin \phi \\
&\quad - \sin \theta (z_i - z_j)
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Now we collect up terms 1,3 and 2,4...

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{w} \cdot (\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j) &= + r_i \cos \theta (\cos \phi_i \cos \phi + \sin \phi_i \sin \phi) \\
&\quad - r_j \cos \theta (\cos \phi_j \cos \phi + \sin \phi_j \sin \phi) \\
&\quad - \sin \theta (z_i - z_j)
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Those terms in brackets in equation 6 are trigonometric identities, which we can plug in

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{w} \cdot (\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j) &= + r_i \cos \theta \cos(\phi_i - \phi) \\
&\quad - r_j \cos \theta \cos(\phi_j - \phi) \\
&\quad - \sin \theta (z_i - z_j) \\
&= + \cos \theta (r_i \cos(\phi_i - \phi) - r_j \cos(\phi_j - \phi)) \\
&\quad - (z_i \sin \theta - z_j \sin \theta)
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

We can use the relation $\tan \theta = \frac{\sin \theta}{\cos \theta}$ to pull a factor of $\cos \theta$ outside of the z-component terms.

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{w} \cdot (\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j) &= + \cos \theta (r_i \cos(\phi_i - \phi) - r_j \cos(\phi_j - \phi)) \\
&\quad - \cos \theta (z_i \tan \theta - z_j \tan \theta)
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$$\vec{w} \cdot (\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j) = \cos \theta [(z_j \tan \theta - r_j \cos(\phi_j - \phi)) - (z_i \tan \theta - r_i \cos(\phi_i - \phi))] \tag{9}$$

Finally, we note \cos is a symmetric function about the origin, i.e. $\cos(\phi - \phi_i) = \cos(\phi_i - \phi)$. Finally we can return to equation 1 using the relation expressed in equation 9

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta t_{expected}^{ij} &= \frac{1}{c} (\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j) \\
&= \frac{1}{c} \cos \theta [(z_j \tan \theta - r_j \cos(\phi - \phi_j)) - (z_i \tan \theta - r_i \cos(\phi - \phi_i))]
\end{aligned}
\tag{10}$$

which is where equation 8.3 comes from :)